

QUARTERLY RETURN (%)

	Composite Gross/Net	Russell Midcap Value
Quarter	1.7/1.5	1.4

PORTFOLIO CHARACTERISTICS

	Portfolio	Benchmark
Market Cap (\$b)	13.3	13.4
Dividend Yield	2.6	2.2
Price-to-Earnings	20.7	17.9
Price-to-Book	2.2	1.9
Price-to-Sales	1.6	1.4
Std Dev (3 yr)	12.3%	10.9%
Alpha (3 yr)	0.0%	0.0%
Beta (3 yr)	1.1	1.0
Std. Dev. (5 yr)	11.2%	10.2%
Alpha (5 yr)	0.2%	0.0%
Beta (5 yr)	1.0	1.0

P/E is calculated using trailing 12-month earnings.

TOP 10 HOLDINGS¹

	% of Portfolio
Williams Companies, Inc.	2.1
Noble Energy, Inc.	2.1
Synchrony Financial	2.0
Cabot Oil & Gas Corporation	2.0
Marathon Petroleum Corporation	1.9
Cypress Semiconductor Corporation	1.8
Dr Pepper Snapple Group, Inc.	1.8
Molson Coors Brewing Company	1.8
Air Products and Chemicals, Inc.	1.8
Macquarie Infrastructure Corporation	1.8

TOP RELATIVE CONTRIBUTORS^{1,2} (%)

	Avg. Wt.	Rel. Cont.
Humana Inc.	1.7	0.3
Cigna Corporation	1.6	0.3
STERIS Plc	1.6	0.3
Sherwin-Williams Company	1.3	0.2
CIT Group Inc.	1.6	0.2

TOP RELATIVE DETRACTORS^{1,2} (%)

	Avg. Wt.	Rel. Cont.
Ensco plc	0.7	-0.3
Noble Energy, Inc.	1.8	-0.3
Cheesecake Factory Incorporated	0.6	-0.2
Patterson-UTI Energy, Inc.	1.2	-0.2
Ralph Lauren Corporation	1.0	-0.2

Values have been rounded to the nearest tenth. Rel. Cont. = contribution to return relative to benchmark. All data as of 6/30/17.

KEY TAKEAWAYS

MARKETS — Value stocks rose in the second quarter, with large-cap value leading small-cap value, though both segments continued to underperform growth counterparts. Overall, investors remained cautious, even as stock valuations climbed to new highs.

PORTFOLIO — The Composite outperformed its benchmark, benefiting from strong stock selection in health care and materials. Humana Inc. and STERIS plc were strong individual stock contributors.

OUTLOOK — Our bottom-up, fundamental stock selection process continues to seek to benefit from opportunistic price disruptions to invest in high-quality, well-run companies offering attractive dividends and trading at the lower end of historical valuations. Recently, our research has been leading us increasingly to select energy names.

MARKET REVIEW

Value stocks rose in the second quarter, with the Russell Midcap® Value Index returning 1.37%. Mid-cap value led both large-cap value and small-cap value, though all three segments continued to underperform growth stock counterparts. In periods of elevated uncertainty, the cyclical stocks that dominate the value segment tend to lag on a relative basis, as has often been the case in the years following the financial crisis. The Index's strongest sectors were health care (+7.84%), consumer discretionary (+4.76%), information technology (+3.45%), and financials (+3.29). Most other sectors also posted gains, with only energy (-11.86%) and telecommunication services (-0.61%) experiencing declines.

Overall, investors remained cautious, even as stock valuations climbed to new highs. U.S. gross domestic product estimates improved but remained sluggish. Fed rate tightening, unknowns around the Trump administration, mounting geopolitical risk, China's elevated debt, Brexit, and negative rates and looming elections across Europe all continued to raise investor concern.

PORTFOLIO OVERVIEW

The Ceredex Mid-Cap Value Equity Composite's return of 1.71% outperformed the Russell Midcap® Value Index's return of 1.37%. Performance benefited from strong stock selection in health care and materials. Stock selection in consumer discretionary and real estate was the biggest return detractor.

PERFORMANCE ATTRIBUTION As of 6/30/17

	Quarter-end Sector Weights Portfolio	Benchmark	Sector Weight Impact	Stock Selection Impact	Total Impact
Consumer Discretionary	7.4	11.8	-0.1	-0.5	-0.6
Consumer Staples	4.8	4.3	-0.0	-0.3	-0.3
Energy	11.0	7.9	-0.2	0.4	0.2
Financials	16.0	19.7	-0.1	0.2	0.1
Health Care	7.4	7.1	0.3	0.5	0.8
Industrials	10.6	11.3	-0.0	0.2	0.2
Information Technology	10.4	6.3	-0.0	-0.2	-0.2
Materials	8.1	5.1	-0.0	0.5	0.4
Real Estate	13.1	14.7	0.0	-0.3	-0.3
Telecom Services	1.3	1.2	0.0	0.1	0.1
Utilities	10.0	10.6	-0.1	0.0	-0.1
Total			-0.2	0.5	0.3

Overweight, Underweight, Neutral

Positive, Negative

Values have been rounded to the nearest tenth. Performance attribution does not incorporate the effects of cash, unclassified securities or expenses and may change at any time without notice. The total impact may not equal the difference between Portfolio and Benchmark returns.

Past performance is not indicative of future results. There is no guarantee a specific investment strategy will be successful. Dividends reflect past performance and there is no guarantee they will continue to be paid.

This presentation is solely for SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION purposes, intended for institutional investors and may not be provided unless accompanied or preceded by the fully compliant GIPS Composite Presentation.

¹ See Holdings Disclosure on page 3.

² The contributors/detractors listed do not represent all securities purchased or sold for our clients. To obtain a list showing the contribution of each holding that contributed to overall performance during the quarter and the calculation methodology, please call 1-407-674-1270.

FactSet, data pulled 7/20/17. eVestment, data pulled 7/20/17.

Humana Inc. and STERIS Plc were strong individual stock contributors.

- Health care insurer Humana Inc. rose on earnings strength and growing investor interest in the firm's standalone business after its merger with Aetna Inc. was called off in February due to a federal court ruling that blocked the union on antitrust concerns. We continue to hold the stock based on its strong fundamentals, including attractive competitive positioning with Medicare.
- Surgical supply manufacturer STERIS plc outperformed on stronger-than-expected earnings and revenue. We continue to hold the stock due to the firm's strong fundamentals and proven ability to capture strategic acquisition synergies. The firm's laundry sterilization business divestment also has helped it re-establish stable growth and margin expansion.

Enco plc and Noble Energy, Inc. were large individual stock detractors.

- Offshore oil drilling contractor Enco plc sold off on oil price deterioration. Despite the commodity volatility, we continue to own the stock and look to price dislocations to add to our position, as the firm offers attractive fundamentals relative to its competitors and as our single offshore oil drilling position provides strategic portfolio diversification.
- Petroleum and natural gas exploration and production company Noble Energy, Inc. also slid with the decline in oil prices. We continue to own shares, attracted by the firm's global business and attractive onshore and offshore exposure.

PURCHASES AND SALES

During the quarter, we bought MAXIMUS, Inc. and sold Cigna Corporation and Sherwin-Williams Company.

- New position information technology services firm MAXIMUS, Inc. provides business process services to government health and human services agencies. We were attracted to the company's solid earnings and revenue growth, well-managed balance sheet, and strong leadership position. We also found the stock's recent relative valuations compelling based on its earnings multiple, particularly for a software company.
- Health care insurer Cigna Corporation was a long-held position that performed well for the portfolio. While generally lagging since a proposed acquisition by Anthem, Inc. due to Department of Justice antitrust concerns, the stock rallied once a U.S. appeals court blocked the merger in April, as the market became attracted to the company's standalone prospects without this overhang. We took profits on the outperformance and exited the position as Cigna's market capitalization had grown too large for the portfolios.
- We sold paint and coating manufacturer Sherwin-Williams Company, which completed its acquisition of competitor The Valspar Corporation during the quarter, as the stock reached our price target.

OUTLOOK

We remain generally positive in our outlook. The U.S. economy is on relatively decent footing, albeit still growing frustratingly slowly. Stocks appear fairly valued, particularly compared to fixed income, and earnings estimates have been strong. Macroeconomic risks, however, remain elevated. Additionally, the extended outperformance run of growth stocks post the 2008 financial crisis, with short periodic exceptions, offers a potential rebalancing opportunity. Experience shows that this trend will reverse at some point, and value stocks will once again lead, as markets have tended to revert to the mean, long term. In this climate, our bottom-up, fundamental stock selection process continues to seek to benefit from opportunistic price disruptions to invest in high-quality, well-run companies offering attractive dividends and trading at the lower end of historical valuations. Recently, our research has been leading us increasingly to select energy names where we are finding compelling long-term value potential.

PERFORMANCE COMPARISON (%)

As of 6/30/17	QTD	YTD	1 year	3 year	5 year	10 year
Mid-Cap Value Strategy Composite (Gross)	1.7	7.2	23.5	7.9	15.9	9.7
Mid-Cap Value Strategy Composite (Net)	1.5	6.8	22.6	7.1	15.1	9.0
Russell Midcap Value Index	1.4	5.2	15.9	7.5	15.1	7.2
eVestment Alliance Midcap Value Median*	1.5	5.6	17.7	7.6	15.1	7.7
# of Portfolios in Median Calculation	109	109	109	109	103	83

eVestment Alliance data capture date: 7/20/17

These figures have been rounded to the nearest tenth decimal place.

Past performance is not indicative of future results. This presentation is solely for SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION purposes, intended for institutional investors and may not be provided unless accompanied or preceded by the fully compliant GIPS Composite Presentation. The comparative performance contained herein reflects annualized returns for specific time period, are not indicative of actual annual returns, and may not be relied upon for investment decisions. Complete information regarding Ceredex's returns are included on the GIPS presentation on page 4. The performance inception date for the composite is 4/1/2002.

Russell Midcap Value Index is an unmanaged index which measures the performance of those securities found in the Russell Midcap universe with lower price-to-book ratios and lower forecasted growth values. The stocks are also members of the Russell 1000 Value index.

Investors cannot invest directly in an index.

Frank Russell Company is the source and owner of the trademarks, service marks and copyrights related to the Russell Indexes. Russell® is a trademark of Frank Russell Company.

This information and general market-related projections are based on information available at the time, are subject to change without notice, are for informational purposes only, are not intended as individual or specific advice, may not represent the opinions of the entire firm, and may not be relied upon for individual investing purposes. Information provided is general and educational in nature, provided as general guidance on the subject covered, and is not intended to be authoritative. All information contained herein is believed to be correct, but accuracy cannot be guaranteed. This information may coincide or conflict with activities of the portfolio managers. It is not intended to be, and should not be construed as investment, legal, estate planning, or tax advice. Ceredex Value Advisors does not provide legal, estate planning or tax advice. Investors are advised to consult with their investment professional about their specific financial needs and goals before making any investment decisions. Portfolio characteristics, sector analysis, and holdings exclude cash and may change at any time without notice.

All investments involve risk. Equity securities (stocks) may be more volatile and carry more risk than other forms of investments. Value-based investments are subject to the risk that the broad market may not recognize their intrinsic value. Dividends reflect past performance and there is no guarantee they will continue to be paid. Mid-capitalization stocks typically carry additional risks since smaller companies generally have a higher risk of failure.

* **eVestment Alliance (eA)** is a manager-reported database on hundreds of investment managers and thousands of investment products covering a full range of asset classes, investment styles and geographic concentrations. Based on manager input, eA independently constructs universes using qualitative and quantitative factors. The investment products are organized into peer groups and assessed on an ongoing basis to ensure the consistency of a product's attributes within a designated style classification. In addition, eA utilizes style analysis tools, performance analytics, and the review of a product's investment strategy narrative in the construction of wide-ranging universes that are both pure in style and consistent over time.

The eVestment Number of Portfolios represents the number of investment products included in the calculation of the median return for the given eVestment universe for the given time period. Individual investment managers may have more than one investment product included in the universe, so the number of observations will likely be greater than the actual number of investment managers represented.

HOLDINGS DISCLOSURE

The information provided in this report should not be considered a recommendation to purchase or sell any particular security. There is no assurance that any securities discussed herein will remain in an account's portfolio at the time you receive this report or that securities sold have not been repurchased. The securities discussed do not represent an account's entire portfolio and in the aggregate may represent only a small percentage of an account's portfolio holdings.

It should not be assumed that any of the securities transactions or holdings discussed were or will prove to be profitable, or that the investment recommendations or decisions we make in the future will be profitable or will equal the investment performance of the securities discussed herein.

CEREDEX VALUE ADVISORS PERFORMANCE RESULTS: MID-CAP VALUE COMPOSITE

APRIL 1, 2002 THROUGH JUNE 30, 2017

Year	Gross of Fee Return (%)	Net of Fee Return (%)	Benchmark Return (%)	Number of Portfolios	Composite Dispersion (%)	Total Composite Assets at End of Period (USD Millions)	Total Firm Assets (USD Millions)
4/1/ to 12/31/02	-25.2	-25.9	-16.3	1	N/A	10	—
2003	30.1	29.3	38.1	1	N/A	15	—
2004	20.9	20.1	23.7	1	N/A	12	—
2005	10.1	9.4	12.7	1	N/A	10	—
2006	23.6	22.9	20.2	1	N/A	12	—
2007	5.9	5.1	-1.4	1	N/A	10	—
2008	-33.7	-34.5	-38.4	3	N/A	254	2,116
2009	48.4	47.7	34.2	3	N/A	696	3,082
2010	29.3	28.4	24.8	3	N/A	1,587	4,691
2011	-6.3	-7.0	-1.4	4	N/A	1,940	6,493
2012	23.0	22.1	18.5	9	N/A	2,857	8,740
2013	32.6	31.7	33.5	12	0.2	4,432	11,693
2014	12.2	11.3	14.7	12	0.1	5,027	12,269
2015	-4.9	-5.7	-4.8	12	0.1	4,274	10,227
2016	21.4	20.5	20.0	10	0.2	3,763	10,845
2017 YTD	7.2	6.8	5.2	11	0.1	3,796	10,195

Ceredex Value Advisors LLC claims compliance with the Global Investment Performance Standards (GIPS) and has prepared and presented this report in compliance with the GIPS standards. Ceredex has been independently verified for the period March 31, 2008 (the date of the Firm's founding) through March 31, 2017. A copy of the verification report is available upon request. Verification assesses whether (1) the firm has complied with all the composite construction requirements of the GIPS standards on a firm-wide basis and (2) the firm's policies and procedures are designed to calculate and present performance in compliance with GIPS standards. Verification does not ensure the accuracy of any specific composite presentation.

- Ceredex Value Advisors LLC ("Ceredex") is an SEC-registered investment adviser and is a wholly owned subsidiary of Virtus Fund Advisers, LLC (formerly known as RidgeWorth Capital Management LLC and RidgeWorth Investments ("RidgeWorth"). Effective June 1, 2017, RidgeWorth is an indirect, wholly owned subsidiary of Virtus Investment Partners, Inc. ("Virtus"). Ceredex was created March 31, 2008, when all of the investment decision-makers associated with the value equity investment strategies of RidgeWorth became employees of Ceredex. The staff and decision making process remained intact and independent within Ceredex. For composite reporting purposes, the Firm is defined as all equity portfolios managed by Ceredex. Composite performance results are linked to performance history generated at RidgeWorth. Prior to March 31, 2008, RidgeWorth operated under the name of Trusco Capital Management, Inc.
- The Mid-Cap Value Strategy composite includes all fully discretionary separately managed investment accounts and registered mutual funds managed in accordance with the mid cap value style. Under normal circumstances, Mid-Cap Value Portfolios will have at least 80% of their net assets invested in U.S. traded equity securities of mid-cap companies. U.S. traded equity securities may include American Depositary Receipts ("ADRs"). Ceredex considers mid-cap companies to be companies with market capitalizations similar to those of companies in the Russell Midcap Value Index. In selecting investments for the portfolios, Ceredex chooses companies that it believes are undervalued in the market relative to the industry sector and the company's own valuation history. Prior to September 1, 2015, the gross of fee return for the mutual fund was calculated by adding back the fund's published total operating expense ratio to the net of fee mutual fund performance; we now use the gross of fee return of the underlying portfolio. A complete description of the composite is available on request.
- The registered mutual fund was added to the composite effective April 1, 2008, immediately after the creation of Ceredex Value Advisors. Prior to that date the composite consisted of only separately managed accounts.
- The benchmark for the Mid-Cap Value Strategy composite is the Russell Midcap Value Index.
- The three-year annualized standard deviations (using monthly returns) for the composite and the benchmark index were 23.8 for the composite and 22.8 for the benchmark as of 12/31/2011; 20.6 for the composite and 16.8 for the benchmark as of 12/31/2012; 16.5 for the composite and 13.7 for the benchmark as of 12/31/2013; 10.8 for the composite and 9.8 for the benchmark as of 12/31/2014; 11.8 for the composite and 10.7 for the benchmark as of 12/31/2015 and 12.6 for the composite and 11.3 for the benchmark as of 12/31/2016.
- The composite significantly underperformed the index for the 9 month period ending 12/31/02 due to stock selection in the Consumer Discretionary, Financials and Health Care Sectors. The composite underperformed the index for the 1 year period ending 12/31/03 primarily due to stock selection in the Energy and Materials Sectors. The composite outperformed in 2007 due to superior asset allocation in Energy, Financials and Industrials; and superior stock selection in Financials, Information Technology and Utilities. The composite outperformed the index in 2009 primarily due to superior stock selection in Financials, Industrials and Materials; and superior asset allocation in Energy and Utilities. Valuations and returns are computed and stated in U.S. Dollars.
- Beginning 3/31/2008, composite policy requires the temporary removal of any portfolio incurring a client initiated significant cash inflow or outflow of 10% or greater of portfolio assets.
- The dispersion of annual returns is measured by the standard deviation across equal-weighted portfolio returns represented within the composite for the full year. Partial year dispersion is measured by the equal-weighted standard deviation of portfolio returns represented in the composite for the partial year period specified. No dispersion is reported for periods with five or fewer portfolios (shown as N/A).
- Returns are presented gross and net of management fees and include the reinvestment of all income. The management fee schedule applicable to mid-cap value accounts is as follows: 0.75% on the first \$25 million, 0.65% on the next \$25 million, 0.55% on the next \$50 million, and 0.50% on all over \$100 million. The minimum annual fee is \$15,000.
- This composite was created March 31, 2008, the inception date of Ceredex, and continues the investment strategy of a composite originally created in 2007. The composite has performance history with an inception date of April 1, 2002.
- The minimum portfolio size for the Mid Cap Value Strategy composite is \$1 million. For further information on investment management fees, please refer to Form ADV Part 2.
- Net of fee performance is calculated assuming a fee of 0.75%, the highest fee for this type of investment account.
- Policies for valuing portfolios, calculating performance, and preparing compliant presentations are available upon request.
- A complete list and description of firm composites and performance results is available upon request.
- Past performance is not indicative of future results and no investment is guaranteed for return of principal and/or return on investments. All information provided and used in calculations is believed to be correct, but accuracy cannot be guaranteed. Please consult with a financial professional before investing.

© 2017 Ceredex Value Advisors LLC. Ceredex Value Advisors is a registered investment adviser with the SEC and an affiliate of Virtus Investment Partners, Inc. All third party marks are the property of their respective owners.